

Deodorization of food wastewater by using strong oxidants

Le Duc Manh

Food Industries Research Institute (FIRI), 301 Nguyen Trai, Hanoi, Vietnam

Received 10 October 2008

Abstract. Wastewater from food processing enterprises is a strong organic-polluted wastewater. It contains mostly organic compounds, which fits to be treated by using biotechnology. The best technology for treatment is anaerobic. Deodorization is one of the most important points of this technology since anaerobic process generated some odor compounds. The main reason which causes bad smell in wastewater was a present of compounds which contains N and S. In this paper we report the result of deodorization by using several oxidant agents. The deodorization was taken after anaerobic process. The result shown that at pH 7-8.5, 4 gram/l of CaO or 120 milligram/l of CaOCl₂, 2.4 milligram/l of KMnO₄ or 1.6 ml/l of H₂O₂ can be useful separately. The recommendation is using of CaOCl₂ for the best economic choice.

Keywords: Food processing wastewater, wastewater treatment, deodorization, oxidant.

1. Introduction

Wastewater from food processing enterprises contains large amount of organic compounds. With high BOD/COD rate it is suitable for using biotechnology [1,2]. The best technology was demonstrated as anaerobic [12]. The influent was filtered by varied screens before pumping to UASB (up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket) system. The effluent was then drained off to deodorization treatment process. Most odors occurred in anaerobic process. Strongest odors of wastewater were derived from H₂S, SO₂, benzyl mercaptan (alpha-toluenthiol), dimethyl sulfur (DMS) and ammonium (NH₃)... There are some methods were studied to deodorize wastewater such as liquid absorption, solid adsorption, using

microorganism and burning... [3-7] but the cost is their limitation. Oxidization of odors by using strong oxidants which contain oxygen, chlorine and Mg molecules was the best choice with high effect and low cost [8].

2. Materials and Methods

Wastewater

Wastewater was taken from wastewater treatment system in Food Industries Research Institute (FIRI) which has a capacity 25 m³/day. The effluent was wastewater of beer processing.

Odor detection

Odor is detected by sensory method.

COD measurement

COD was measured as specification TCVN 6491-1999.

* Tel.: 84-4-38584481.
E-mail: thangcx@gmail.com

BOD₅ measurement

BOD₅ was measured as specification TCVN 6001-1999.

SS measurement

Suspended solid was measured as specification TCVN 6625-2000 (ISO 11923-1997)

3. Results and Discussions

Influent has COD about 1500 - 1800 mg/l was pumped to wastewater treatment system after filtered by varied screens. The properties of the out stream from anaerobic stage were as following: pH 6.5-7; COD 150-200 mg/l; SS 80-120 mg/l and quite offensive smell. After odor treatment, effluent was COD 80-100 mg/l; pH 7-8 and SS 90-100mg/l. The effect of several factors was studied to find out the optimal value. Wastewater was taken after anaerobic stage for experiments.

pH effect

Since the state of sulfur and organic compounds is depended on pH, then effects of pH to the odor of wastewater were studied. The result in Table 1 showed that pH of influent effected to sulfur removal process. The odor intensity is increased with decreasing of pH, it may due to the incompletely reduction of compounds of sulfur and nitrogen in acid state. These compounds are volatile and caused offensive smell. In pH higher than 7, Ca²⁺ precipitated with organic acid then settled in the filter, this process may reduce COD and SS. The range of pH 7-8.5 is suitable for deodorization; this also is an advantage condition since this range is common in almost wastewater [1-9].

Treatment time effect

As reported before [13], wastewater was added 0.4 g/l and 0.25 g/l of CaO and CaOCl₂ respectively. After certain time of treatment, effluent was taken out and removed precipitate before measuring other factors. Treatment time seems not effect to odor and color of wastewater, this may due to the immediately reaction of CaOCl₂ with S²⁻ and organic compounds. Results in Table 2 indicated that odor is diluted by time, but it is not significant. Then the concentration of oxidant should be increased rather than elongate the treatment time.

Effect of CaO concentration

Concentration of CaO was varied in range of 3.2 to 4.8 g/l with 0.25 g/l of CaOCl₂ added. The effect of concentration of CaO to the odor of wastewater was shown in Table 3. When the concentration of CaO increase, wastewater is transparence and odorless. S²⁺ is totally removed. This can be explained by precipitation of Ca²⁺ with soluble pollutants.

Effect of CaOCl₂ concentration

Wastewater was added with a range of 40 - 200 mg/l of CaOCl₂ with fixed 4.4 g/l of CaO. From Table 4 we can see that concentration of CaOCl₂ effected to color, odor and COD of wastewater. The higher CaOCl₂ concentration, the higher effect of odor treatment. With 120 mg/l of CaOCl₂ the factor of effluent was reached to B class of TCVN 5945-2005.

After combination of results in tables 2, 3 and 4 we demonstrate that 120 mg/l of CaOCl₂ and 4 g/l of CaO is the best condition for treatment of 1 litre wastewater. After treatment by oxidants, wastewater was settle and drained directly to the sewage without any treatment.

Effect of KMnO₄ concentration

KMnO₄ and H₂O₂ were added to wastewater. The results in Table 5 and Table 6 indicated that the higher concentration of KMnO₄, the lower COD value of effluent after treatment, this result is quite similar to previous

reports [10-12]. With 4 g/l KMnO₄ the COD value of effluent is strange, this may be explained by the excess amount of KMnO₄ used which may cause error in measurement. In general, the optimal concentration is 2.4 g/l of KMnO₄.

Table 1. Effect of pH to the odor of wastewater

Factors	pH _d				
	5,0	6,0	7,0	8,0	8,5
COD (mg/l)	182	157	101	98	92
BOD ₅ (mg/l)	112	97	65	102	57
SS (mg/l)	95	91	82	88	90
pH	5,2	6,3	7,2	8,3	8,9
Color	+	+	-	-	-
Odor	+++	++	+	+	+

Table 2. Effect of treatment time to the odor of wastewater

Factors	Time (min)			
	10	60	120	300
COD (mg/l)	98	94	94	93
BOD ₅ (mg/l)	60	58	58	57
SS (mg/l)	95	91	82	72
Color	-	-	-	-
Odor	++	+	+	+

Table 3. Effect of CaO concentration to the odor of wastewater

Factor	CaO concentration (g/l)				
	3.2	3.6	4	4.4	4.8
COD (mg/l)	138	132	125	88	87
BOD ₅ (mg/l)	80	75	73	54	55
SS (mg/l)	112	129	124	127	136
Color	-	-	-	-	-
Odor	++	+	+	+	+

Table 4. Effect of CaOCl₂ concentration to the odor of wastewater

Factors	CaOCl ₂ concentration (mg/l)				
	40	80	120	160	200
COD (mg/l)	98	82	84	78	105
BOD ₅ (mg/l)	60	50	51	50	64
SS (mg/l)	128	126	115	117	92
Color	++	+	-	-	-
Odor	++	++	+	+	+

Table 5. Effect of KMnO_4 to the odor of wastewater

Factor	KMnO_4 concentration (mg/l)					
	0	0.8	1.6	2.4	3.2	4
COD (mg/l)	138	132	125	98	87	21
BOD ₅ (mg/l)	84	80	76	59	53	65
SS (mg/l)	137	132	119	117	94	126
Color	Black	Light black	Grey	Light grey	Light grey	Light violet
Odor	+++	++	+	+	+	+

Table 6. Effect of H_2O_2 to the odor of wastewater

Factor	H_2O_2 concentration (ml/l)					
	0	0,4	0,8	1,2	1,6	2
COD (mg/l)	150	110	85	82	79	77
BOD ₅ (mg/l)	91	67	52	50	49	49
SS (mg/l)	127	112	98	95	95	94
Color	Black	Grey	-	-	-	-
Odor	+++	++	+	+	+	+

Effect of H_2O_2 concentration

In this study, the concentration of raw H_2O_2 is 30%. The amounts of H_2O_2 added to wastewater were 0.4, 0.8, 1.2, 1.6 and 2.0 ml/l. The results showed that increasing concentration of H_2O_2 caused decreasing COD of effluent after treatment. Optimal concentration was found out as 1.6 ml/l (Table 6), at this concentration of H_2O_2 the COD still high (186-119 mg/l) but it is suitable for the following aerobic process.

4. Conclusion

The optimal condition for deodorization after anaerobic stage should be pH 7-8.5. The result shown that at pH 7-8.5, 4 gram/l of CaO or 120 milligram/l of CaOCl_2 , 2.4 milligram/l of KMnO_4 or 1.6 ml/l of H_2O_2 can be useful separately. After combination of the economic benefit and optimal conditions, we suggest that CaOCl_2 is the best choice.

References

- [1] Le Huy Hoang, *Water pollution*, Hanoi University of Science, Education Publishing house, 1991.
- [2] Do Thi Huyen, Nguyen Xuan Nguyen, Pham Hong Hai, *Municipal wastewater treatment*. Education Publishing house, Hanoi, 1998, p 246 - 253.
- [3] Nguyen Van Uyen, Nguyen Tien Thang. *Biotechnology principle*, Education Publishing house, Hanoi, 1999.
- [4] Tran Thi Thanh, *Microbiology*, Education Publishing house, Hanoi, 2003.
- [5] Tran Van Nhan, Ngo Thi Nga, *Wastewater treatment technology*. Science and Engineering editor, Hanoi, 2002.
- [6] Tran Hieu Nhue, Lam Minh Triet. *Wastewater treatment*, Hanoi University of Construction, Education Publishing house, Hanoi, 2000.
- [7] Antharry J. Booncore, M. CH. E. *Waste management Perry's chemical engineers handbook*. 6thed. Anniversary edition. Section 26. p 3 - 74.
- [8] Arora M. *Biological Control of Environmental Pollution*. Vol 1, Anmol Publications PVT, Ltd. India. 1998.

- [9] Eckenfelder W. W. *Industrial water pollution control*. Mc, Graw Hill Book Company Inc. p 117 - 137. 1989.
- [10] J. Gruller, *Small scale of Wastewater treatment*, Construction's Publishing house, Hanoi, 1985, p 50 -115.
- [11] Ratledge C and Kristiansen B. *Basic Biotechnology*. Cambridge University Press, UK. 2002.
- [12] Le Duc Manh, Cao Xuan Thang etc, *Complete the technology of UASB production for wastewater treatment plant in food processing company*, State project, Food Industries Research Institute, 2007.
- [13] Le Duc Manh, Cao Xuan Thang etc, *Study on high bioactive auto-controlled system for food wastewater treatment*, State project, Food Industries Research Institute, 2005.

Nghiên cứu khả năng khử mùi của nước thải nhà máy chế biến thực phẩm bằng một số chất oxy hóa mạnh

Lê Đức Mạnh

Viện Công nghiệp thực phẩm, 301 Nguyễn Trãi, Hà Nội, Việt Nam

Ô nhiễm nước thải các nhà máy chế biến thực phẩm đang là vấn đề đang được cả xã hội quan tâm. Nhiều đề tài, dự án khoa học đã và đang triển khai nhằm xử lý triệt để vấn đề này. Với đặc thù ô nhiễm chủ yếu là các hợp chất hữu cơ, công nghệ chủ đạo trong xử lý nước thải các nhà máy chế biến thực phẩm là công nghệ kỵ khí. Xử lý mùi sau khi xử lý kỵ khí là một trong những điểm mấu chốt của công nghệ này. Trong phạm vi bài báo này, chúng tôi đi sâu vào nghiên cứu khả năng khử mùi của nước thải bằng một số chất oxy hóa mạnh. Nguyên nhân chính tạo mùi khó chịu trong nước thải là do các hợp chất có chứa sulphua và nitơ. Một số chất oxy hóa mạnh như CaO, CaOCl₂, KMnO₄, H₂O₂ đã được nghiên cứu để bổ sung. Xử lý mùi được nghiên cứu là xử lý sau quá trình xử lý kỵ khí. Kết quả cho thấy, điều kiện tốt nhất là pH 7 đến 8,5, với nồng độ CaO 4 g/l hoặc 0.12 g/l CaOCl₂, 2.4 milligram/l KMnO₄ hoặc 1,6 ml/l của H₂O₂ 30 % cho kết quả khử mùi tốt nhất. Tuy nhiên, để có hiệu quả kinh tế cao nhất thì sử dụng CaOCl₂ là tối ưu.

Từ khóa: Xử lý mùi, chất oxy hóa mạnh, xử lý nước thải thực phẩm, UASB.

Điện thoại: 04-8589895; fax: 04-8584554; email: thangcx@gmail.com